

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/121,368	07/23/1998	BRADLEY C. LINDEN	\$13.12-0036	3233
23838 KENYON & K	7590 02/01/200 XENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREET N.W.			RODRIGUEZ, CRIS LOIREN	
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			NAME DATE	DECIMENA MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/121,368	LINDEN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		CRIS L. RODRIGUEZ	3732			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sound of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timusely and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	oril 2007.				
		action is non-final.				
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-61,64-94,96-129,132-139,142-145,147-150,164 and 166-168</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 1-61, 64-94, 96-129, 132-139, 142-145, 147-150, 164, 166-168 is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(e)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					
- apa						

Reissue Applications

1. Claims 1-61, 64-94, 96-129, 132-139, 142-145, 147-150, 164, 166-168 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth below. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion below in this Office action.

- There is no proper acknowledgement of the applicant's duty of disclosure in any declaration of record. The only declarations that acknowledge the duty of disclosure were filed on 11/16/1998. They state that the declarant "acknowledges my duty to disclose information which may be material to examination of the application." The required statement required by the rules was "material to patentability under 37 CFR 1.56." However, the Office was also accepting "material to examination under 37 CFR 1.56(a)" as well, and continues to do so for declaration filed in pending applications prior to June 1, 2008.
- However, the statement in the 11/16/1998 declaration does not refer to 37 CFR 1.56(a), and so is not acceptable. A new declaration is required that properly acknowledges the duty of disclosure. If filed prior to June 1, 2008, the new declaration may use either the "matrial to examination under 37 CFR 1.56(a)" language or the "material to patentability under 37 CFR 1.56" language, although applicant should consider using the

Application/Control Number: 09/121,368

Art Unit: 3732

368 Page 3

"material to patentabilty under 37 CFR 1.56" language which is actually what 37 CFR 1.63(b)(3) requires.

• The 3.73b statement is improper. The statement filed on 7/23/1998 is executed by a senior patent attorney. On 8/22/2001, in response to the 5/8/2001 Office action objecting to the 3.73(b) statement because the senior patent attorney was not authorized to act on behalf of the assignee (see paragraph 1 on page 2 of the Office action), a paper coded as OATH was filed. It is a copy of a paper executed by an officer of the assignee purporting to authorize the senior patent attorney to act on behalf of the assignee. However, the paper is dated April 21, 2001, well after the 7/23/1998 3.73b statement was signed. A fresh 3.73b statement supporting the assignee's consent to the reissue application is necessary.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to CRIS L. RODRIGUEZ at telephone number (571)272-4964.

ris Hodiguez

SPE

Art Unit 3732